# The Double Alpha Decay of Curium and Berkelium Isotopes

## K. P. Santhosh

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of Calicut, Thenhipalam 673635, Kerala, India

#### Abstract

The double alpha (2 $\alpha$ ) emission from several Cm and Bk isotopes with mass number A=211 to 286 are studied within the Modified Generalized Liquid Drop Model (MGLDM) and Universal Decay Law (UDL). The half-lives for most probable 2 $\alpha$  emission, calculated within MGLDM by using different preformation factors (P<sub>c</sub>), are presented. The observed peak (maxima) or dip (minima)in 2 $\alpha$  decay half-life is responsible for the stability of the parent or daughter nucleus respectively. From this study, the neutron numbers 126, 162, 172 and 184 are found to be magic and semi-magic shell closures.

Keywords: alpha decay, double alpha decay, liquid drop model

\*Corresponding author: Email: <u>drkpsanthosh@gmail.com</u>

## **1. Introduction**

The alpha decay is one of the prominent decay modes of nuclei in the heavy and superheavy regions. The concurrent emission of two alpha  $(2\alpha)$  particles from a radioactive nucleus is termed as double alpha decay. The concept of spontaneous emission of  $2\alpha$  particles from a nucleus was first predicted by Poenaru et al.,[1] in 1985. There have not been many studies both theoretical experimental and to understand the possibility of the emission of  $2\alpha$  particles, after its first

Volume 1, Issue 2 (2023)

prediction. Recently in 2021, Tretyak [2] studied the possibility of 2aemission from 80 naturally available nuclei. The author also reported, for the first time, the experimental  $T_{1/2}$ limit for  $2\alpha$ emission from <sup>209</sup>Bi isotopes. By analyzing the data of Marcillac et al., [3] to observe single  $\alpha$  decay from <sup>209</sup>Bi, Tretyak set the experimental  $T_{1/2}$ limit for 2 $\alpha$  emission from <sup>209</sup>Bi as  $T_{1/2}$ > 2.9 × 10<sup>20</sup> y. Very recently we have studied the possibility of  $2\alpha$ decay from <sup>209</sup>Bi and the predicted half-life and it is checked with the reported experimental  $T_{1/2}$  limit [4, 5].

In the present work, we aim to study the possibilities of  $2\alpha$ emissions from several isotopes of Cm and Bk with mass number A =211 to 286 using MGLDM and well-known UDL of Qi et al.,[6] for alpha and cluster radioactivity

## 2. Modified Generalized Liquid Drop Model (MGLDM)

In MGLDM, the total energy for a deformed nucleus is defined as,

$$E = E_V + E_S + E_C + E_R + E_P.$$
 (1)

Here the terms  $E_V$ ,  $E_S$ ,  $E_C$ ,  $E_R$ and  $E_P$  represent the volume, surface, Coulomb, rotational and proximity energy terms respectively. The barrier penetrability P is calculated using the following integral,

$$\mathbf{P} = \exp\left\{-\frac{2}{\hbar}\int_{R_{in}}^{R_{out}}\sqrt{2B(r)[E(r) - E(sphere)]}dr\right\}$$
(2)

Where  $R_{in}$  and  $R_{out}$  are the inner and outer turning points and B(r) is reduced mass.

The partial half-life is related to the decay constant  $\lambda$  by

$$T_{1/2} = \left(\frac{\ln 2}{\lambda}\right) = \left(\frac{\ln 2}{\nu P_C P}\right)$$
(3)

Here  $P_c$  is the preformation factor and the frequency of assault  $v=10^{20}$  s<sup>-1</sup>.

#### 2.1 Preformation factor

We consider that the  $2\alpha$ particle is already preborn within the parent nucleus before emission, similar to that in alpha and cluster radioactivity. The decay half-life is generally influenced by the variables used, such as Q value; cluster size; atomic number of cluster and daughter nuclei and the combination of these three variables, to compute preformation factor. the The MGLDM with different  $P_{\rm c}$  values are proved its success in explaining the alpha and cluster decay can be extended to study the concept of  $2\alpha$ and the details of decay the preformation parameters are as follows:

$$P_c(Q) = 10^{aQ + bQ^2 + c}$$
(4)

with a = -0.25736, b = 6.37291 x 10<sup>-4</sup>, c = 3.35106

$$P_{c}(A_{c}) = 10^{aA_{c}+b}$$
(5)

with a =-0.51325 and b = 2.80787

$$P_{c}(Z_{c}Z_{d}) = 10^{aZ_{c}Z_{d}+b}$$
(6)

with a =-0.01555 and b = 3.22940

$$P_{c}(C) = 10^{aA_{c} + bZ_{c}Z_{d} + cQ + dQ^{2} + e}$$
(7)

with a = -0.5559, b = 0.028716, c = - 0.4233358, d = 0.001143 and e = 1.490754

In the above relations the variables, Q,  $A_c$  and  $Z_cZ_d$  are the Q value, cluster size, and atomic number of cluster and daughter nucleus respectively. The value of constants in each relation are found by the method of least square regression.

#### 3. Universal Decay Law (UDL)

The relation for UDL for cluster decay proposed by Qi and co-workers [6] is given as,

$$log_{10}(T_{1/2}) = aZ_c Z_d \sqrt{A/Q_c} + b\sqrt{AZ_c Z_d (A_d^{1/3} + A_c^{1/3})} + c, \qquad (8)$$

where  $A_c$ ,  $A_d$  are mass number of cluster and daughter nuclei respectively;  $Z_c$ ,  $Z_d$  are proton number of cluster and daughter nuclei respectively. The constants are a = 0.3949, b = -0.3693, c = -23.7615and  $A = A_c A_d / (A_c + A_d)$ .

#### 4. Results and discussion

The  $2\alpha$  emission from various Cm and Bk isotopes with mass number A =211 to 286 are studied using MGLDM by incorporating different  $P_c$  values. The emitted  $2\alpha$ 

Volume 1, Issue 2 (2023)

particle will tunnel through the potential barrier and then emitted from the parent nucleus. In concurrent emission of  $2\alpha$  particles, we can imagine both alpha particles move as a cluster and leave the nucleus after tunneling through the barrier. The energy released, i.e., the Q value of a reaction is,

$$Q = \Delta M_p - (\Delta M_d + \Delta M_c) > 0, \quad (9)$$

where  $\Delta M_p$ ,  $\Delta M_d$  and  $\Delta M_c$  are the mass excess of parent, daughter and cluster nuclei respectively. For  $\alpha$ decay,  $\Delta M_c = \Delta M_{\alpha}$  and for  $2\alpha$ decay,  $\Delta M_c = 2 \times \Delta M_{\alpha}$ , where  $\Delta M_{\alpha}$  is the mass excess of  $\alpha$  particles. For computing Q values for the  $\alpha$  decay and  $2\alpha$  decay of all parent nuclei are evaluated using the recent mass model proposed by Wang et al., [7].

gives Figure1, the plot connecting the  $\log_{10}T_{1/2}$ values computed using the MGLDM with different  $P_{\rm c}$  values and UDL for the  $2\alpha$  decay of <sup>211-285</sup>Cm and <sup>214-286</sup>Bk. In the case of <sup>211-285</sup>Cm, half-life shows a dip (minimum value) at mass numbers 218. 254 and 276 corresponding to magic neutron shell closure 126, 162 and 184. In the case of double alpha decay of <sup>214-286</sup>Bk, minimum  $T_{1/2}$  is observed at mass numbers 219, 255, 265 and 277 where the daughter nuclei have magic neutron shell closure at 126, 162, 172 and 184 accordingly. When



*Figure 1.* The variation of logarithm of half-life with the mass number of daughter nucleus for 2α decay from <sup>211-285</sup>Cm and <sup>214-286</sup>Bk

the parent nucleus has 162 neutrons, a peak appears at mass numbers 250 and 251 for Cm and Bk respectively, suggesting a maximum half-life and stability.

We can therefore draw the conclusion that for a given group of isotopes, the minimumlog<sub>10</sub> $T_{1/2}$  value denotes the stability, neutron shell closure of the daughter nucleus. The peak (maximum) in  $log_{10}T_{1/2}$  value also denotes the stability, neutron shell closure of the parent nucleus.

#### 5. Conclusions

In this work we have evaluated the 2 $\alpha$  decay half-lives of Cm and Bk isotopes with A=211 to 286 using MGLDM and UDL. This study reveals the stability of daughter nuclei and parent nuclei which is associated with the minimum and maximum half-life respectively. The observed peak or dip at magic/semimagic neutron numbers 126, 162, 172and 184 is the reason for the extra stability of the parent and daughter nucleus at these numbers.

#### Acknowledgments

The author K.P.S would like to thank the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research, Government of India, for the financial support under the scheme "Emeritus Scientist, CSIR", No. 21(1154)/22/EMR-II dated 20-05-2022.

## References

- [1] Poenaru, D. N. &Ivascu, M.J.Physique Lett. Two alpha, three alpha and multiple heavy-ion radioactivities. 46, 591-594 (1985).
- [2] Tretyak, V. I. Nucl. Phys. At. Energy. Spontaneous double alpha decay: First experimental limit and prospectus of investigation. 22, 121-126 (2021).
- [3] Marcillac, P. de., Coron, N., Dambier, G., Leblance, J.&Moalic, J. P. Experimental detection of α-particles from the radioactive decay of natural bismuth.Nature 422, 876-878 (2003).
- [4] Santhosh, K. P. & Jose, T. A. Theoretical investigation on double- $\alpha$  decay from radioactive

nuclei. Phys. Rev. C 104, 064604 (2021).

- [5] Chandran, M. & Santhosh, K. P.  $\alpha$ and  $2\alpha$  decay of nuclei in the region 94  $\leq Z \leq 101$  using the modified generalizedLiquid drop model. Phys. Rev. C 107, 024614 (2023).
- [6] Qi, C., Xu, F. R., Liotta, R. J. &Wyss, R. Universal Decay Law in Charged-Particle Emission and Exotic Cluster Radioactivity. Phys. Rev. Lett. 103, 072501 (2009).
- [7] Wang, N., Liu, M., Wu, X.&Meng, Surface diffuseness correction in global mass formula. Phys. Lett. B 734, 215-219 (2014).